

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/816,967	09/816,967 03/23/2001		Gregory J. Mann	BUR9-2001-0025-US1 8686	
29154	7590	05/15/2006	EXAMINER		
FREDERIO		IBB, III AL PROPERTY LA	JOO, JOSHUA		
2568-A RI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 304			2154		
ANNAPOL	is, MD 2	21401	DATE MAILED: 05/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			67	MANN, GREGORY J.					
				Art Unit					
		Joshua Jo		2154					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 27 October 200	95 .						
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) \boxtimes This action is non-final.								
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.								
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers								
.—	The specification is objected to by the		_						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
	de the attached detailed embe detail.	TOT A HOL OF THE COL	mod copies net receive						
Attachment(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	2.048)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔯 Inform	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/6/05</u> .		5) Notice of Informal P		152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/816,967 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

Response to Amendment filed 10/27/2005

1. Claims 1-21 are presented for examination.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aguilar et al, US Patent #6,199,137, in view of Maduzia et al, US Patent #5,488,408 (Maduzia hereinafter).
- 5. As per claim 1, Aguilar teaches a core for providing communications between a transmission media and a processor in a parallel-serial architecture, Aguilar's teaching comprising:

serial lanes connecting said processor to said transmission media (i.e. via port; see fig. 2); and

at least one selector (data MUX) connected to said serial lanes (see fig. 2).

Application/Control Number: 09/816,967

Art Unit: 2154

6. However, Aguilar does not teach selector selectively engages different number of said serial lanes to alter speed of data passing through said core. Maduzia teaches the concept of selecting a number of lines of a serial communication (Col 11, lines 9-13, 44-49).

Page 3

- 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill that changing the number of lines would alter the speed of communication as speed of data transmission depends on available bandwidth. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Aguilar and Maduzia because Maduzia teachings would improve the system of Aguilar by communicating using different configurations according to protocols or devices in the communication system.
- 8. As to claim 8, Aguilar teaches a parallel-serial system comprising: at least one processor (item 210, fig. 2);

at least one transmission media (via ports; item 240, fig. 2) connecting said one processor (see fig. 2); and

a core between each processor and said transmission media, said core providing communication between said transmission media and said processor, and said core comprising:

serial lanes connecting said processor to said transmission media (i.e. via port; see fig. 2); and

at least one selector (data MUX) connected to said serial lanes (see fig. 2).

9. However, Aguilar does not teach selector selectively engages different number of said serial lanes to alter speed of data passing through said core. Maduzia teaches the concept of selecting a number of lines of a serial communication (Col 11, lines 9-13, 44-49).

Application/Control Number: 09/816,967

Art Unit: 2154

10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill that changing the number of lines

Page 4

bandwidth. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

would alter the speed of communication as speed of data transmission depends on available

time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Aguilar and Maduzia because

Maduzia teachings would improve the system of Aguilar by communicating using different

configurations according to protocols or devices in the communication system.

11. As to claim 15, Aguilar teaches a core for providing communications between a

transmission media and a processor in a byte-stripped parallel-serial architecture, Aguilar's

teaching comprising:

serial lanes connecting said processor to said transmission media (i.e. via port; see fig.

2); and

at least one selector (data MUX) connected to said serial lanes (see fig. 2).

12. However, Aguilar does not teach a selector selectively engages different number of said

serial lanes to alter speed of data passing through said core. Maduzia teaches the concept of

selecting a number of lines of a serial communication (Col 11, lines 9-13, 44-49).

13. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill that changing the number of lines

would alter the speed of communication as speed of data transmission depends on available

bandwidth. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Aguilar and Maduzia because

Maduzia teachings would improve the system of Aguilar by communicating using different

configurations according to protocols or devices in the communication system.

Art Unit: 2154

- 14. As per claim 2, Aguilar teaches the core further comprising a data controller (router; item 230, fig. 2) for controlling an operation of said selector.
- 15. As per claim 3, Aguilar teaches the core wherein each of said serial lanes include a buffer (see fig. 2).
- 16. As per claim 4, Aguilar teaches the core wherein said buffers comprise elastic (inherent) first-in, first-out (FIFO) buffers (see fig. 2).
- 17. As per claims 5, Aguilar teaches the core wherein said selector comprises a multiplexor (see item 250, fig. 2).
- 18. As per claims 6, Aguilar teaches the core wherein additional speed adjustments is attained by said selector engaging additional lanes (see fig. 2).
- 19. As per claims 7, Aguilar teaches the core wherein said transmission media operates at a different data speed that said processor (inherent; see fig. 2).
- 20. Claims 9-14 are similar in limitations as claims 2-7. Aguilar and Maduzia in combination teach apparatus as set forth in claims 2-7. Therefore, Aguilar and Maduzia in combination also teach apparatus as set forth in claims 9-14.

Application/Control Number: 09/816,967

Art Unit: 2154

21. Claims 16-21 are similar in limitations as claims 2-7. Aguilar and Maduzia in

combination teach apparatus as set forth in claims 2-7. Therefore, Aguilar and Maduzia also

teach apparatus as set forth in claims 16-21.

Conclusion

22. A shortened statutory period for reply to this Office action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Joshua Joo whose telephone number is 571 272-3966. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 8AM to 5PM and every other Friday.

24. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John A. Follansbee can be reached on 571 272-3964. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

25. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 8, 2006

JOHN FOLLANSBEE
SUFERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6